SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SCIENCEDOMAIN

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	**2013 IRJPAC 4431**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PROXIMATE AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF NINE NIGERIAN FRUITS**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Materials and methods** 1. It seems the experiment was done using one sample and one replicate for each fruit. We can say that because it is not otherwise specified and the results are not expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Therefore, the results are not relevant, nor representative. 2. From the experimental protocol it follows that the authors have analyzed the juices obtained from the fruits, but the composition of the juice differs significantly from the composition of the whole fruit. Therefore, either change the title of the study or restore the analysis, this time on the whole fruit. **Results and discussion** 1. The discussion is not relevant to the experimental results obtained in the study (too much about the nutritional importance of each nutrient and mineral), and, mainly, the results are not discussed in the light of previous published results in this specific field. This part can be improved, especially by comparing the results with previous published results for each fruit. Also, to be relevant, the results must be correlated with the estimated daily consumption of these fruits by Nigerians. 2. Determining the average results for the nine fruits is pointless, irrelevant. What would be the significance of these means? What would be the significance of these standard deviations? Fruits can be at most compared to each other and their composition is relevant when it is correlated with their estimated daily consumption. **Conclusions** These are not conclusions. This text can be part of the introduction. Moreover, conclusions should not include citations. **Many corrections have been made directly in the paper.**	Corrections accepted

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		